arXiv:cond-mat/9404054v1 18 Apr 1994

INTENSITY CORRELATIONS IN ELECTRONIC WAVE PROPAGATION IN A DISORDERED MEDIUM: THE INFLUENCE OF SPIN-ORBIT SCATTERING

A. M. S. Macêdo

Theoretical Physics, University of Oxford,

1 Keble Road, Oxford OX1 3NP, U.K.

Abstract

We obtain explicit expressions for the correlation functions of transmission and reflection coefficients of coherent electronic waves propagating through a disordered quasi-one-dimensional medium with purely elastic diffusive scattering in the presence of spin-orbit interactions. We find in the metallic regime both large local intensity fluctuations and long-range correlations which ultimately lead to universal conductance fluctuations. We show that the main effect of spin-orbit scattering is to suppress both local and long-range intensity fluctuations by a universal symmetry factor 4. We use a scattering approach based on random transfer matrices.

PACS numbers: 72.15.Cz, 71.55.Jv, 02.50.-r

I. Introduction

There has been considerable effort made in the past few years to understand the statistical properties of the intensity pattern created by coherent waves propagating through a disordered medium in the multiple elastic scattering regime. The simplest known effect is large local intensity fluctuations (the speckle pattern) observed in scattering of light [1], which can be interpreted theoretically [2] as being due to fluctuations in the sum of a large number of uncorrelated amplitudes associated with different Feynman paths arising from the diffusive motion of the scattered wave.

Another interesting feature of such patterns, which has also been experimentally observed [3,4], is the presence of coherent backscattering. A result interpreted as a constructive interference between pairs of time-reversed scattering paths.

It is now understood that the statistical properties of the fluctuating intensity patterns may crucially depend on the complex interference of the underlying wave fields of the diffusion modes in the bulk of the medium. This dependence leads to non-trivial long-range correlations [5,6], which in turn imply fluctuations of the total transmission coefficient of order unity. Such fluctuations have been observed in phase coherent electronic waves transmitted across a disordered sample [7-10]. The theory of this effect [11-15] predicts that the conductance of a disordered mesoscopic metallic conductor fluctuates with an amplitude always of order e^2/h independent of the system size and degree of disorder, the phenomenon of universal conductance fluctuations.

An important consequence of this result is that it implies strong correlations between diffusion modes and thus reflected and transmited intensities in different modes must be statistically correlated.

All these interesting statistical properties are, in fact, expected to occur in any system in which waves propagate coherently in a disordered medium. Electronic waves, though, can give rise to special quantum mechanical effects if the impurity scattering becomes spin-dependent by adding to the sample strong spin-orbit couplers like Au. The simplest observable effect is the depletion of backscattering [16], which results from coherent destructive interference of spin-dependent wave func-

tions associated with pairs of time-reversed scattering events. Theoretically, it has been shown [17] that spin-orbit scattering supresses universal conductance fluctuations by a factor of 4 and gives rise to weak anti-localization, slightly enhancing the value of the average conductance.

There are two different approaches to coherent electronic wave propagation in disordered medium. The first one [11-13] (the microscopic approach) is based on linear response theory and uses Feynman diagrams to perform averages over different impurity configurations. The second one [15,18] (the macroscopic approach) is based on Landauer scattering theory [19] and uses random transfer matrices to represent the multiple elastic scattering of electronic waves in the sample. These two approaches are essentially equivalent for systems with quasi-one-dimensional geometry.

Explicit expressions for the correlation functions of the transmission coefficients of waves propagating through a random medium with purely elastic scattering have recently been obtained by Feng *et al.* [6] using the microscopic approach. They obtained, in addition to the familiar speckle pattern, long-range correlations resulting from the interaction of diffusion modes. A similar result has also been derived by Mello *et al.* [20] using the macroscopic approach. They showed that long-range correlations between reflection coefficients are of the same order as that between transmission coefficients, a result which had some importance in connection with a deeper understanding of universal conductance fluctuations.

This paper is concerned with correlations of transmission and reflection coefficients of electronic waves diffusively scattered in a disordered medium both with and without strong spin-orbit coupling. To the best of our knowledge there is no explicit evaluation of correlation functions of transmission and reflection coefficients in the presence of spin-orbit scattering. In this paper we provide such a calculation together with results for a correlation function not considered in previous works. In presence of spin-dependent scattering a number of new purely quantum mechanical interference effects takes place and the above authors results do not apply, even locally, where we found the amplitude of intensity fluctuations to change dramatically.

We use the macroscopic approach to obtain results for the unitary, orthogonal and symplectic ensembles. The unitary ensemble is appropriate to systems with an applied magnetic field. The orthogonal ensemble describes systems with time-reversal symmetry in the absence of spin-orbit scattering, whilst the symplectic ensemble applies to systems with time-reversal symmetry in the presence of strong spin-orbit scattering. In Sec. II we formulate the scattering problem and show how the various quantities of interest can be written in terms of some parameters that appear in the polar decomposition of the transfer matrix M of the disordered sample. We assume the system to be quasi-one-dimensional, which greatly simplifies the calculations owing to the isotropic nature of the probability distribution $P(M)$ in the group manifold of the transfer matrix. In Sec. III we obtain novel exact expressions for the various correlation functions of reflection and transmission coefficients in terms of the number N of transverse propagating modes and certain averages to be performed by solving an isotropic diffusion equation for $P(M)$, in which the length L of the sample plays the role of an embedding parameter. In the metallic regime we find that large local fluctuations and long-range correlations are suppressed by coherent interferences generated by spin-orbit scattering. We show that our result for the speckle pattern can be understood in terms of a sum of a large number of uncorrelated Feynman paths. The importance of long-range correlations to universal conductance fluctuations is briefly discussed. We present a summary and conclusions in Sec. IV.

II. The Scattering Approach

We consider a confined quasi-one-dimensional geometry in which semi-infinite perfectly conducting leads are attached to the right and left sides of a disordered region of length L and width W. The total number of transverse propagation modes is given by $N \sim (k_F W)^{d-1}$, where k_F is the Fermi momentum and d is the sample dimension. We assume $L \ll L_{in}$, where L_{in} is the inelastic scattering length, thus the only important scattering mechanism is elastic and the electron wave function is coherent.

Since the orthogonal and unitary ensembles have been thoroughly discussed in many recent papers [15,18], we present a brief review only of the less familiar symplectic case.

The amplitude of the propagating modes to the left of the sample are related to those to the right by the transfer matrix M. Flux conservation and time-reversal symmetry in the presence of spin-orbit scattering imply that M can conveniently be parametrized as [17]

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & u^* \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{1+\lambda} & \sqrt{\lambda} \\ \sqrt{\lambda} & \sqrt{1+\lambda} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & v^* \end{pmatrix}, \tag{2.1}$$

where u and v are arbitrary $N \times N$ quaternion unitary matrices and λ is an $N \times N$ quaternion real, diagonal matrix whose elements $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_N$ define the eigenchannels. The operations over quaternion matrices and elements are defined in Ref. 17.

The transfer matrix M can also be written in terms of transmission and reflection matrices t, r (fluxes incident from the left) and t', r' (fluxes incident from the right). It reads

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} (t^\dagger)^{-1} & r'(t')^{-1} \\ -(t')^{-1} r & (t')^{-1} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.2}$$

Comparing (2.2) with (2.1) one finds

$$r = -\bar{v} \left(\frac{\lambda}{1+\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} v, \tag{2.3}$$

$$t = u \left(\frac{1}{1+\lambda} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} v. \tag{2.4}$$

The reflection and transmission coefficients R_{ab} and T_{ab} of wave amplitudes in channel a when there is an incident flux in channel b are given by [21]

$$R_{ab} = |r_{ab}|^2 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{3} |r_{ab}^{(i)}|^2, \tag{2.5}$$

$$T_{ab} = |t_{ab}|^2 \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{3} |t_{ab}^{(i)}|^2, \tag{2.6}$$

in which $r_{ab}^{(i)}$ and $t_{ab}^{(i)}$ are complex components of the quaternions r_{ab} and t_{ab} in the standard basis $\{e_i, i = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$, where e_0 is the 2×2 unit matrix and e_1, e_2, e_3 are defined as

$$e_1 = \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad e_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ -i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.7}$$

We define three kinds of crossed second moment

$$C_{ab,a'b'} \equiv \langle T_{ab} T_{a'b'} \rangle - \langle T_{ab} \rangle \langle T_{a'b'} \rangle, \tag{2.8}$$

$$D_{ab,a'b'} \equiv \langle R_{ab} R_{a'b'} \rangle - \langle R_{ab} \rangle \langle R_{a'b'} \rangle, \tag{2.9}$$

$$E_{ab,a'b'} \equiv \langle R_{ab} T_{a'b'} \rangle - \langle R_{ab} \rangle \langle T_{a'b'} \rangle, \tag{2.10}$$

in which $\langle \ldots \rangle$ stands for an average over an ensemble of random matrices M of the form (2.1). It has been shown [17] that, as the sample length is increased, M performs an isotropic random walk in its group manifold. This implies that ensemble averages of arbitrary functions of the form $f(M) = f_1(u, v) f_2(\lambda)$ are simply given by

$$\langle f(M) \rangle = \langle f_1(u, v) \rangle_0 \langle f_2(\lambda) \rangle_s, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\langle f_1 \rangle_0$ denotes the average on the quaternion unitary group and $\langle f_2 \rangle_s$ is the expectation value obtained by solving the evolution equation

$$\frac{\partial \langle f_2 \rangle_s}{\partial s} = \frac{1}{2N - 1} \left\langle \frac{1}{J} \sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_a} \left[\lambda_a (1 + \lambda_a) J \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial \lambda_a} \right] \right\rangle_s, \tag{2.12}$$

in which $J = \prod_{a<b} |\lambda_a - \lambda_b|^4$ and s is the length of the sample in units of the mean free path.

The quasi-one-dimensional geometry owes its mathematical simplicity to the factorization shown in (2.11).

III. The Correlation Functions

If we insert (2.6) into (2.8), use (2.11) and perform the averages on the quater-

nion unitary group in the way described in Refs. 17 and using the results of Ref. 22, we find

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ab,a'b'} =&(A_{C,1}\langle T^2\rangle_s - A_{C,2}\langle T_2\rangle_s)(4+\delta_{aa'}\delta_{bb'}) \\ &+ (A_{C,1}\langle T_2\rangle_s - 4A_{C,2}\langle T^2\rangle_s)(\delta_{aa'}+\delta_{bb'}) - \langle T_{ab}\rangle\langle T_{a'b'}\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$A_{C,1} = \frac{4N^2+1}{N^2(4N^2-1)^2}, \qquad A_{C,2} = \frac{2}{N(4N^2-1)^2}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$T = \sum_{ab} T_{ab} = \sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{1}{1+\lambda_a}, \qquad T_2 = \sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{1}{(1+\lambda_a)^2}. \tag{3.3}$$

Equation (3.1) is exact. As a check one can verify that

$$\mathrm{Var}(T) = \sum_{aba'b'} C_{ab,a'b'} \tag{3.4}$$

holds for arbitrary N.

Inserting (2.5) into (2.9) and performing the averages on the quaternion unitary group we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_{ab,a'b'} =&A_{D,1}(\langle R_2\rangle_s - \langle R^2\rangle_s)\delta_{aba'b'} + (A_{D,2}\langle R^2\rangle_s - A_{D,3}\langle R_2\rangle_s)((2-\delta_{ab})(2-\delta_{a'b'}) \\ &+ \delta_{aa'}\delta_{bb'} + \delta_{ab'}\delta_{ba'}) + 2(A_{D,3}\langle R^2\rangle_s - A_{D,4}\langle R_2\rangle_s)(\delta_{aa'b'} + \delta_{abb'} + \delta_{aba'} \\ &+ \delta_{ba'b'} - \delta_{aa'} - \delta_{ab'} - \delta_{bb'} - \delta_{ba'}) - \langle R_{ab}\rangle\langle R_{a'b'}\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

in which

$$A_{D,1} = \frac{1}{N(N-1)(2N-3)(2N-1)}, \tag{3.6a}$$

$$A_{D,2} = \frac{2N^2-3N+2}{N^2(N-1)(2N-3)(4N^2-1)}, \tag{3.6b}$$

$$A_{D,3} = \frac{1}{N^2(N-1)(2N-3)(2N+1)}, \tag{3.6c}$$

$$A_{D,4} = \frac{2N^2-N+1}{2N^2(N-1)(2N-3)(4N^2-1)}, \tag{3.6d}$$

and

$$R = \sum_{ab} R_{ab} = \sum_{a=1}^{N} \frac{\lambda_a}{1+\lambda_a}, \qquad R_2 = \sum_{a=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\lambda_a}{1+\lambda_a}\right)^2. \tag{3.7}$$

The unit tensors δ_{abc} and δ_{abcd} take the value 1 if all indices coincide and vanish otherwise. One can easily check that $D_{ab,a'b'}$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{Var}(R) = \sum_{aba'b'} D_{ab,a'b'}. \tag{3.8}$$

Finally for (2.10) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ab,a'b'} =&(A_{E,1}\langle RT\rangle_s - A_{E,2}(\langle T\rangle_s - \langle T_2\rangle_s))(2-\delta_{ab}) + (A_{E,3}(\langle T\rangle_s - \langle T_2\rangle_s) \\ &- A_{E,2}\langle RT\rangle_s)(\delta_{ab'} + \delta_{bb'} - \delta_{abb'}) - \langle R_{ab}\rangle\langle T_{a'b'}\rangle, \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where

$$A_{E,1} = \frac{1}{N^2(2N+1)(N-1)}, \qquad NA_{E,2} = A_{E,3} = \frac{1}{N(N-1)(4N^2-1)}. \tag{3.10}$$

The averages $\langle R_{ab}\rangle$ and $\langle T_{ab}\rangle$ have been calculated in Ref. 17 and are given by

$$\langle R_{ab}\rangle = \frac{2-\delta_{ab}}{N(2N-1)}\langle R\rangle_s, \qquad \langle T_{ab}\rangle = \frac{\langle T\rangle_s}{N^2}. \tag{3.11}$$

Observe that $\langle R_{aa}\rangle \leq \langle R_{ab}\rangle$ in agreement with weak-localization theory [16]. One can verify that $E_{ab,a'b'}$ satisfies

$$\mathrm{Cov}(R,T) = \sum_{aba'b'} E_{ab,a'b'}. \tag{3.12}$$

Equations (3.1), (3.5) and (3.9) are new predictions of the present macroscopic model. Comparing equations (3.1) and (3.5) with the ones obtained in Refs. 15 and 20 for the unitary and orthogonal ensembles we find great similarities in their structure. We remark that the correlation function $E_{ab,a'b'}$ has not been considered in earlier works.

To proceed further we have to evaluate the remaining averages using the evolution equation (2.12). Since no exact solution is possible for arbitrary s, we confine ourselves to the important metallic or diffusive regime, defined as the one in which $1 \ll s \ll N$. In this regime the average total transmission $\langle T\rangle_s$, which is proportional to the conductance, has a very large value and it makes sense to expand an

arbitrary average $\langle f(\lambda)\rangle_s$ in inverse powers of $\langle T\rangle_s$. This procedure is explained thoroughly in Ref 15. We merely quote here the resulting expressions for $C_{ab,a'b'}$, $D_{ab,a'b'}$ and $E_{ab,a'b'}$, which are

$$C_{ab,a'b'} = \langle T_{ab}\rangle\langle T_{a'b'}\rangle \left(\frac{1}{\alpha}\delta_{aa'}\delta_{bb'} + \frac{2}{3\alpha\langle T\rangle_s}(\delta_{aa'}+\delta_{bb'}) + \frac{2}{15\beta\langle T\rangle_s^2}\right), \tag{3.13}$$

$$D_{ab,a'b'} = \langle R_{ab}\rangle\langle R_{a'b'}\rangle\left(\frac{\beta}{2\alpha}\left(\frac{2\delta_{aa'}\delta_{bb'}+\gamma\delta_{ab'}\delta_{ba'}}{\beta+\delta_{ab}(2-\beta)}\right)\right.$$

$$\left. - \frac{(2(\delta_{aa'}+\delta_{bb'})+\gamma(\delta_{ab'}+\delta_{ba'}-\beta\delta_{aa'bb'}))}{2\alpha\langle R\rangle_s} + \frac{32}{15\beta\langle R\rangle_s^2}\right), \tag{3.14}$$

$$E_{ab,a'b'} = -\langle R_{ab}\rangle\langle T_{a'b'}\rangle\left(\frac{2\delta_{bb'}+\gamma\delta_{ab'}}{3\alpha\langle R\rangle_s} + \frac{2}{15\beta\langle R\rangle_s\langle T\rangle_s}\right), \tag{3.15}$$

where $\alpha = (\beta^2-3\beta+4)/2$, $\gamma = (2-\beta)(3-\beta)$ and β takes on the value 4 in the symplectic ensemble. Other possible values are $\beta = 1$ (orthogonal ensemble) and $\beta = 2$ (unitary ensemble), which have been studied in Refs. 15 and 20. Expression (3.15) is, however, for all values of β, a new result of the present work.

Suppose a single mode b is excited on the left and we are interested in correlations between transmission coefficients in different modes a and a' on the right. From (3.13) we get

$$\mathrm{Cov}(T_{ab}, T_{a'b}) = \frac{1}{\alpha}\langle T_{ab}\rangle\langle T_{a'b}\rangle\delta_{aa'}, \tag{3.16}$$

thus the present model predicts large local fluctuations of transmission intensities. For conventional waves, $\alpha = 1$, and (3.16) is the familiar speckle pattern observed in many experiments [1]. For electronic waves in the presence of spin-orbit scattering, $\alpha = \beta = 4$, and from (3.16) we see that local fluctuations are suppressed by a factor of 4.

The above result can be easily understood by means of a very simple argument. The presence of disorder implies that the electronic motion is diffusive and thus the transmission amplitude t_{ab} is a large sum of amplitudes associated with Feynman paths that cover the whole sample. So, we may write

$$t_{ab} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} A_{ab}(i), \tag{3.17}$$

in which $A_{ab}(i)$ is the probability amplitude due to the i-th path connecting the channel a to the channel b. We assume that $A_{ab}(i)$ are independent random quaternion variables uniformly distributed on the group $U(2)$. Inserting (3.17) into (2.6) we find

$$\langle T_{ab}\rangle = \sum_i \langle |A_{ab}(i)|^2\rangle, \tag{3.18}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}\langle T_{ab}^2\rangle =& \frac{5}{4}\sum_{ij}\langle |A_{ab}(i)|^2 |A_{ab}(j)|^2\rangle \\ \simeq& \frac{5}{4}\langle T_{ab}\rangle^2,\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

where terms of order unit compared with M were neglected. Using (3.19) we easily find

$$\mathrm{Var}(T_{ab}) = \frac{1}{4}\langle T_{ab}\rangle^2, \tag{3.20}$$

in agreement with (3.16).

The second term in (3.13) is a long-range correlation which becomes dominant when we consider the fluctuations of the total transmission coefficient $T_b \equiv \sum_a T_{ab}$ to the right due to a single mode b excited on the left. From (3.13) we get

$$\mathrm{Var}(T_b) = \frac{2}{3\alpha N s}. \tag{3.21}$$

When $\alpha = 1$ (conventional waves), (3.21) is the same result obtained in Refs. 5,6 and 19. In the symplectic case ($\alpha = 4$), the present model predicts suppression of long-range correlations by the same factor 4 found in (3.16).

The third term in (3.13) describes a uniform long-range correlation between all channels. It is the dominant fluctuation of the total transmission coefficient T, which is related to the conductance by the Landauer formula [19] $G = 2T$. From (3.13) we find

$$\mathrm{Var}(T) = \frac{2}{15\beta}, \tag{3.22}$$

in agreement with microscopic calculations [11-15].

This result shows that the long-range nature of the diffusive process induces non-trivial correlations between transmission coefficients, which in turn generates universal fluctuations as observed in the conductance of phase coherent conductors [7-10].

Let us now consider (3.14). The first term gives for $\beta = 1$ and $\beta = 2$

$$\mathrm{Var}(R_{ab}) = \langle R_{ab}\rangle^2, \tag{3.23}$$

which is the familiar speckle pattern for the reflection coefficient of conventional waves. In the symplectic case ($\beta = 4$), we get

$$\mathrm{Var}(R_{ab}) = \frac{1}{4}\langle R_{ab}\rangle^2, \qquad \text{for} \quad a \neq b, \tag{3.24}$$

and

$$\mathrm{Var}(R_{aa}) = \langle R_{aa}\rangle^2, \tag{3.25}$$

Equation (3.24) can be easily derived following the same reasoning used to obtain (3.20). We shall consider the more subtle result shown in (3.25).

The Feynman paths that contribute to r_{aa} are closed, which implies that interference between time reversed trajectories plays an important role and gives rise to coherent backscattering. We include this information in r_{aa} by writting it as

$$r_{aa} = \sum_{i=1}^{M} A_{aa}(i)\bar{A}_{aa}(i), \tag{3.26}$$

in which $A_{aa}(i)$ is the probability amplitude associated with the i-th Feynman path that starts and finishes at channel a, whilst $\bar{A}_{aa}(i)$ is the probability amplitude due to the time-reversed path. We assume again that $A_{aa}(i)$ are random and independent quaternion variables and that the number of paths M is large. Inserting (3.26) into (2.5) we find

$$\langle R_{aa}\rangle = \sum_i \langle |A_{aa}(i)|^4\rangle, \tag{3.27}$$

while

$$\begin{aligned}\langle R_{aa}^2\rangle &= 2\sum_{ij}\langle |A_{aa}(i)|^4 |A_{aa}(j)|^4\rangle \\ &\simeq 2\langle R_{aa}\rangle^2,\end{aligned} \tag{3.28}$$

which of course implies (3.25).

It is very instructive to observe that spin-orbit effects on local fluctuations, whilst being intrinsically of quantum mechanical nature, can be understood following the same kind of reasoning that explains the familiar speckle pattern of conventional waves.

Another noteworthy feature of (3.14) is that it shows long-range correlations which are of the same order of magnitude as those in (3.13). This fact was discussed in Ref. 20 to contrast with earlier hypothesis [2], which suggested that absence of long-range correlations between reflection coefficients might be consistent with universal conductance fluctuations. One can see from (3.14) that it is precisely these long-range correlations that give rise to universal fluctuations. We find

$$\mathrm{Var}(R) = \frac{2}{15\beta}, \tag{3.29}$$

which is the same value found in (3.22). This is consistent with the identity $R = N - T$.

Consider now Eq. (3.15). Observe that it shows only long-range correlations, since reflected and transmitted waves emerge at different sides of the sample. Such correlations exist simply because the interference pattern generated by the scattered wave is highly sensitive to any small change in the impurity configuration [23].

When a single channel b is excited on the left, we find that the total transmission coefficient to the right T_b is correlated with the total reflection coefficient to the left R_b with covariance given by

$$\mathrm{Cov}(T_b, R_b) = -\frac{2}{3\alpha N s}. \tag{3.30}$$

Comparing (3.30) with (3.21) we find

$$\mathrm{Cov}(T_b, R_b) = -\mathrm{Var}(T_b), \tag{3.31}$$

which is consistent with the identity $R_b + T_b = 1$.

Finally, the second term in (3.15) is a uniform background correlation that gives rise to a universal correlation between the total transmission coefficient T and the

total reflection coefficient R. Using (3.12)and (3.15) we find

$$\mathrm{Cov}(R,T) = -\frac{2}{15\beta}, \tag{3.32}$$

which is consistent with (3.22) and the identity $R + T = N$.

IV. Summary and Conclusions

In this work we have studied the correlations between transmission and reflection coefficients of coherent electronic waves diffusively scattered in a disordered quasi-one-dimensional medium both with and without spin-orbit scattering. In the absence of spin-orbit scattering the quantum mechanical nature of the phenomena enters only via the wave-like behavior of the electron and thus the same statistical properties of transmitted and reflected intensities are expected to occur in any system in which waves propagate coherently through a disordered medium. In the presence of spin-orbit scattering, however, new purely quantum mechanical effects take place and special statistical properties arise.

We have obtained novel exact expressions for several correlation functions between transmission and reflection coefficients in terms of the number N of eigenchannels and certain averages to be evaluated by solving a given evolution equation, in which the length L of the sample plays the role of the diffusion time.

In the metallic regime, which is defined as the one in which the length of the sample is much larger than the mean free path, but much smaller than the localization length, we have found explicit expressions for the various correlation functions between the reflection and transmission coefficients valid for the unitary, orthogonal and symplectic ensembles. We have shown that in the symplectic ensemble special quantum interference effects due to spin-orbit scattering suppresses both local fluctuations and long-range correlations of transmission and reflection coefficients.

The high sensitivity of the interference pattern created by the scattered wave to any small change in the impurity configuration leads to interesting correlations between the total reflection coefficient and the total transmission coefficient, evaluated at opposite sides of the sample, when a single wave mode is excited. We believe that

this effect is unique to the diffusive regime and plays an important role in providing an understanding of universal fluctuations of global quantities.

We conclude by remarking that the metallic or diffusive regime is very special in that the interferences of the wave fields of the diffusion modes gives rise to remarkable statistical properties of transmission and reflection coefficients some of which are peculiar to the symplectic ensemble. All long-range correlations that we have found in crossed second moments of transmission and reflection coefficients have the same order of magnitude and are ultimately responsable for universal fluctuations of global quantities like total reflection and total transmission coefficients. The latter corresponds to the familiar universal conductance fluctuations observed in phase coherent metallic samples.

Acknowledgments

The author is grateful to J. T. Chalker for helpful comments and discussions. This work was partially supported by CAPES (Brazilian Agency).

References

[1] S. Etemad, R Thompson and H. J. Andrejico, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 575 (1986); M. Kaveh, M. Rosenbluh, I. Edrei and I. Freund, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 2049 (1986).

[2] P. A. Lee, Physica (Amsterdam) **140 A**, 169 (1986).

[3] M. P. van Albada and A. Lagendijk, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2692 (1985).

[4] P. E. Wolf and G. Maret, Phys Rev. Lett. **55**, 2696 (1985).

[5] M. J. Stephen and G. Cwilich, Phys Rev. Lett. **59**, 285 (1987).

[6] S. Feng, C. Kane, P. A. Lee and A. D. Stone, Phys Rev. Lett. **61**, 834 (1988).

[7] C. P. Umbach, S. Washburn, R. B. Laibowitz, and R. A. Webb, Phys. Rev. B **30**, 4048 (1984).

[8] R. A. Webb, S. Washburn, C. P. Umbach, and R. B. Laibowitz, Phys. Rev. B **54**, 2696 (1985).

[9] S. Washburn and R. A. Webb, Adv. Phys. **35**, 375 (1986).

[10] A. G. Aronov and Yu. V. Sharvin, Rev. Mod. Phys. **59**, 755 (1987).

[11] P. A. Lee and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 1622 (1985); B. L. Altshuler, Pis'ma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **41**, 530 (1985) [JEPT Lett. **41**, 648 (1985)].

[12] P. A. Lee and T. V. Ramakrishnan, Rev. Mod. Phys. **57**, 287 (1985).

[13] P. A. Lee, A. D. Stone, and H. Fukuyama, Phys. Rev. B **35**, 1039 (1987).

[14] S. Feng, Phys. Rev. B **39**, 8722 (1989).

[15] P. A. Mello, Phys. Rev. Lett. **60**, 1089 (1988); P. A. Mello and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. B **44**, 3559 (1991).

[16] G. Bergmann, Phys. Rep. **107**, 1 (1984).

[17] A. M. S. Macêdo and J. T. Chalker, Phys. Rev. B **46**, 14985 (1992).

[18] P. A. Mello, P. Pereyra, and N. Kumar, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) **181**, 290 (1988).

[19] R. Landauer, Philos. Mag. **21**, 863 (1970).

[20] P. A. Mello, E. Akkermans, and B. Shapiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **61**, 459 (1988).

[21] In Ref. 17 it was found convenient to define R_{ab} and T_{ab} as the quaternions $R_{ab} = r_{ab} r_{ab}^{\dagger}$ and $T_{ab} = t_{ab} t_{ab}^{\dagger}$, since quaternion random phases vanish for simple averages like $\langle R_{ab} \rangle$ and $\langle T_{ab} \rangle$. In order to describe fluctuations and correlations, however, such simple definitions do not apply and (2.5) and (2.6) must be used instead.

[22] P. A. Mello, J. Phys. A **23**, 4061 (1990).

[23] S. Feng, P. A. Lee and A. D. Stone, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 1960 (1986).